

On Monotonic and Orthant Monotonic Norms

Massoud Malek

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

San Jose State University

San Jose, California 95192

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

This paper gives a characterization of real vector norms with respect to the interval $[-1, 1]$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{R}^n be the n -dimensional vector space of column vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ with real entries x_i , and $e_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ where the 1 is in the k th position. Let $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of $n \times n$ real valued matrices, $I \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ the identity matrix, and $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset M_n(\mathbb{R})$ the set of diagonal matrices $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ with $\max_k |d_k| = 1$. By $\rho(A)$ we denote the spectral radius of A , i.e., $\rho(A) = \max\{|\tau| : \tau \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A\}$. Recall that a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n is a nonnegative real valued function on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying:

$$\|x\| = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\| \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.3)$$

The operator norm of a matrix A corresponding to a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ is

$$\text{lub}(A) = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}. \quad (1.4)$$

Since to each eigenvalue of A there corresponds an eigenvector, we immediately obtain the well-known inequality

$$\rho(A) \leq \text{lub}(A). \quad (1.5)$$

For any $r \in [-1, 1]$ and for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we define the $n \times n$ matrix

$$H_k(r) = I - (r + 1)e_k e_k^T. \quad (1.6)$$

If \mathcal{U} denotes the class of norms $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n , then for any $r \in [0, 1] \cup \{-1\}$, the following classes of norms on \mathbb{R}^n are defined:

$$\mathcal{U}_r = \{\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{U} : \text{lub}(H_k(r)) = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_{-1} = \mathcal{U}$, since for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $H_k(-1)$ is the identity matrix and $\text{lub}(I) = 1$ for any $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{U}$. If $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$, then $|x| = (|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|)^T$, and for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote $|x| \leq |y|$ if $|x_i| \leq |y_i|$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A vector norm on \mathbb{R}^n which satisfies one of the following three equivalent conditions is called *monotonic* or *absolute* [1]:

$$\text{if } |x| \leq |y| \text{ then } \|x\| \leq \|y\|, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\| |x| \| = \|x\| \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\text{lub}(D) = 1 \quad \text{for any } D \in \mathcal{D}_1. \quad (1.10)$$

A vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n is called an *orthant monotonic norm* if

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| \leq \|y\| \quad & \text{for } |x| \leq |y| \\ & \text{provided } x_i y_i \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

By using the fact that (1.8) and (1.10) are equivalent, one can similarly prove that the condition (1.11) is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\text{if } D \in \mathcal{D}_1 \text{ is nonnegative, then } \text{lub}(D) = 1. \quad (1.12)$$

Funderlic [2] has shown that $\|\cdot\|$ is an orthant monotonic norm if and only if

$$\text{lub}(H_k(0)) = \text{lub}(I - e_k e_k^T) = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.13)$$

In this paper, first we show that for $r \in (-1, 0]$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\text{lub}(H_k(r)) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{lub}(H_k(0)) = 1;$$

then we prove that

$$\mathcal{N}_{r_1} \supset \mathcal{N}_{r_2} \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq 1,$$

and we also show that \mathcal{N}_1 is the class of all monotonic norms. This last result is a concrete characterization of monotonic norms on \mathbb{R}^n , in the sense that the condition (1.10) may be reduced to the following condition:

$$\text{lub}(H_k(1)) = \text{lub}(I - 2e_k e_k^T) = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.14)$$

II. RESULTS

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $r \in (-1, 0]$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{lub}(H_k(0)) = 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,
- (ii) $\text{lub}(H_k(r)) = 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. If $-1 < r_1 < r_2 \leq 0$, then

$$H_k(r_1) = \left(\frac{r_2 - r_1}{1 + r_2} \right) I + \left(\frac{1 + r_1}{1 + r_2} \right) H_k(r_2) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n);$$

so if $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}_{r_2}$, with $\text{lub}(H_k(r_2)) = 1$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lub}(H_k(r_1)) &\leq \left| \frac{r_2 - r_1}{1 + r_2} \right| \text{lub}(I) + \left| \frac{1 + r_1}{1 + r_2} \right| \text{lub}(H_k(r_2)) \\ &= \left(\frac{r_2 - r_1}{1 + r_2} \right) \cdot 1 + \left(\frac{1 + r_1}{1 + r_2} \right) \cdot 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and since $\rho(H_k(r_1)) = 1$, we conclude that $\text{lub}(H_k(r_1)) = 1$. Thus (i) implies (ii).

Now let $-1 < r < 0$; then $H_k(0) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (H_k(r_2))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $\text{lub}(A)$ is an operator norm, it satisfies

$$\text{lub}(A \cdot B) \leq \text{lub}(A) \cdot \text{lub}(B),$$

so for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\text{lub}(H_k(r)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [\text{lub}([H_k(r)]^m)] \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [\text{lub}(H_k(r))]^m.$$

Now, if $\text{lub}(H_k(r)) = 1$, then

$$1 \leq \text{lub}(H_k(0)) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 1^m = 1.$$

Therefore $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}_0$, i.e., (ii) implies (i). ■

So the class of all orthant monotonic norms is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N} : \text{lub}(H_k(r)) = 1, r \in (-1, 0], k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

LEMMA 2.2.

$$\mathcal{N}_{r_1} \supseteq \mathcal{N}_{r_2} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq 1. \quad (1.15)$$

Proof. Let $r_2 \in [0, 1]$, then

$$H_k(-r_2) = \left(\frac{2r_2}{1+r_2} \right) I + \left(\frac{1-r_2}{1+r_2} \right) H_k(r_2) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Hence, $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}_{r_2}$ implies that for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lub}(H_k(-r_2)) &\leq \left(\frac{2r_2}{1+r_2} \right) \text{lub}(I) + \left(\frac{1-r_2}{1+r_2} \right) \text{lub}(H_k(r_2)) \\ &= \left(\frac{2r_2}{1+r_2} \right) \cdot 1 + \left(\frac{1-r_2}{1+r_2} \right) \cdot 1 = 1; \end{aligned}$$

thus $1 = \rho(H_k(-r_2)) \leq \text{lub}(H_k(-r_2)) \leq 1$, so $\text{lub}(H_k(-r)) = 1$. If $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq 1$, then

$$H_k(r_1) = \left(\frac{r_2 - r_1}{2r_2} \right) H_k(-r_2) + \left(\frac{r_2 + r_1}{2r_2} \right) H_k(r_2) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Hence, if $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}_{r_2}$, then for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lub}(H_k(r_1)) &\leq \left| \frac{r_2 - r_1}{2r_2} \right| \text{lub}(H_k(-r_2)) + \left| \frac{r_2 + r_1}{2r_2} \right| \text{lub}(H_k(r_2)) \\ &= \left(\frac{r_2 - r_1}{2r_2} \right) + \left(\frac{r_2 + r_1}{2r_2} \right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{lub}(H_k(r_1)) = \rho(H_k(r_1)) = 1$, i.e., $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}_{r_1}$. ■

Later we show that the inclusions are strict.

THEOREM 2.3. *The class of monotonic norms on \mathbb{R}^n consists of the class \mathcal{N}_1 .*

Proof. If $\|\cdot\|$ is a monotonic norm on \mathbb{R}^n , then $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies (1.10); this clearly implies (1.15), i.e., $\text{lub}(I - 2e_k e_k^T) = \text{lub}(H_k(1)) = 1$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Now let $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}_1$ and let $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be in \mathcal{D}_1 ; then we can write $D = \prod_{k=1}^n D_k$, where

$$D_k = \left(\frac{1 + d_k}{2} \right) I - \left(\frac{1 - d_k}{2} \right) H_k(1), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \text{lub}(D_k) \leq \left(\frac{1 + d_k}{2} \right) \text{lub}(I) + \left(\frac{1 - d_k}{2} \right) \text{lub}(H_k(1)) \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + d_k}{2} \right) \cdot 1 + \left(\frac{1 - d_k}{2} \right) \cdot 1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and $1 \leq \text{lub}(D) \leq \prod_{k=1}^n \text{lub}(D_k)$, it follows that

$$1 \leq \text{lub}(D) \leq \prod_{k=1}^n \text{lub}(D_k) = 1^n = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\text{lub}(D) = 1,$$

i.e., $\|\cdot\|$ is monotonic. ■

III. EXAMPLES AND CONCLUSIONS

By giving a set of examples, first we show that the inclusions in Lemma 2.2 are strict, and then by using these examples we give a characterization of classes of norms discussed previously with respect to the interval $[-1, 1]$.

For $s \geq 0$ and $r_0 \in [0, 1]$, let $\|\cdot\|_{s, r_0}$ be the following norm on \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$):

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T\|_{s, r_0} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ |(n + s + r_0 - 1)x_i|, \left| sx_i + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right| \right\}.$$

We claim that $\|\cdot\|_{s, r_0}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\forall k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \text{lub}(H_k(r_1)) = 1 \text{ and } \text{lub}(H_k(r_2)) > 1,$$

where $r_1 \in [-1, r]$ and $r_2 \in (r, 1]$. To prove this, let

$$M = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n); \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i| = 1 \right\}$$

and let

$$u_1 = (-1, 1, 1, \dots, 1), \quad u_2 = (1, -1, 1, \dots, 1), \dots, \quad u_n = (1, 1, \dots, -1).$$

Then for $r_1 \in [-1, r_0]$,

$$\text{lub}(H_k(r_1)) = \max_{v \in M} \frac{\|H_k(r_1)v\|_{s, r_0}}{\|v\|_{s, r_0}} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n);$$

if $v = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(r_1)v &= H_k(r_1)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T = (x_1, x_2, \dots, r_1 x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T; \end{aligned}$$

so $\|H_k(r_1)v\|_{s, r_0} = \|(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T\|_{s, r_0}$, and we have $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{y_i\} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_i\} = 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{sy_i + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j\} \leq n + s + r_1 - 1 \leq n + s + r_0 - 1 = \|v\|_{s, r_0}$; hence $\|H_k(r_1)v\|_{s, r_0} \leq \|v\|_{s, r_0}$. Clearly for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\|H_k(r_1)u_j\|_{s, r_0} = \|u_j\|_{s, r_0} = n + s + r_0 - 1$. Thus $\text{lub}(H_k(r_1)) = 1$. If $r_2 \in (r_0, 1]$, then $\|H_k(r_2)u_k\|_{s, r_0} = n + s + r_2 - 1 > n + s + r_0 - 1 = \|u_j\|_{s, r_0}$, so $\text{lub}(H_k(r_2))$

$\geq (n + s + r_2 - 1)/(n + s + r_0 - 1) > 1$. Now it is clear that the inclusions in Lemma 2.2 are strict. We call r_0 the *radius of monotonicity* of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{s, r_0}$. Thus \mathcal{N}_{r_0} is the class of real vector norms of radius of monotonicity r_0 , i.e., if $\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}_{r_0}$ and $r \in [-1, 1]$, then

$$\text{lub}(H_k(r)) \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{if } -1 \leq r \leq r_0 \\ > 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Combining the previous statements, the condition (1.13), and the equivalence of the conditions (1.8), (1.10), and (1.14), finally we may conclude that for $r_0 \in [0, 1]$, the class \mathcal{N}_{r_0} consists of norms on \mathbb{R}^n , satisfying

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T\| \leq \|(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T\|$$

provided $|x_i| \leq |y_i|$ whenever $x_i y_i \geq 0$, and $|x_j| \leq r_0 |y_j|$ whenever $x_j y_j < 0$.

REFERENCES

- 1 F. L. Bauer, J. Stoer, and C. Witzgall, Absolute and monotonic norms, *Numer. Math.* 3:257–264 (1961).
- 2 R. E. Funderlic, Some characterizations of orthant monotonic norms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 28:77–83 (1979).

Received 21 September 1981; revised 29 March 1982